

University of Duisburg-Essen Bismarckstr. 90 47057 Duisburg Germany

#### **TOWARDS PEER-TO-PEER-BASED CRYPTANALYSIS**

#### Matthäus Wander, Arno Wacker, Torben Weis Oct 14<sup>th</sup>, 2010

6th LCN Workshop on Security in Communications Networks

### Outline

- Introduction, Requirements
- System Model, Example Application
- Self-organizing job management

- Result verification
- Summary and Outlook

### Introduction

#### • Goal

Run computationally intensive cryptanalytic job

### Stakeholder

- Users with interest in cryptanalysis
- But without distributed computing infrastructure

### Job distribution

- Invite friends
- Share CPU cycles with foreigners





- **R1) CPU cycle sharing**
- R2) Ad-hoc setup
- R3) Open & decentralized (participant-driven)
- **R4) Correctness**
- **R5) Offline support**
- **R6) Scalability & Efficiency**





## **Distributed Computing Paradigms**

	Sharing (R1)	Ad-hoc (R2)	Open (R3)	Correct (R4)	Offline (R5)	Scales (R6)
Client/Server Computing	Х			Х	Х	
Cloud Computing		Х		Х	Х	Х
Cluster Computing	Х			Х	Х	Х
Grid Computing	Х	(x)	(x)	Х	Х	Х
Peer-to-Peer Computing	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х

### **Related Work**

- CoDiP2P [Castella2008]
  - Manager hierarchy with job master
- Organic Grid [Chakravarti2006]
  - Manager hierarchy with job master
- Jalapeno [Therning2005]
  - Manager/worker groups
- JNGI [Verbeke2002]
  - Monitor/dispatcher/worker groups
- →Require trusted job managers

### **Job Management**

#### Management work

- Divide job into tasks
- Allocate tasks
- Monitor status, track progress
- Collect, verify and merge results

### Who manages the job?

- Job Submitter
- Elected workers
- All workers (self-organization)



- **R1) Cycle-sharing**
- R2) Ad-hoc setup
- R3) Open & decentralized (participant-driven)
- **R4) Correctness**
- R5) Offline support
- R6) Scalability & efficiency

### Submitter manages job





- **R1) Cycle-sharing**
- R2) Ad-hoc setup
- R3) Open & decentralized (participant-driven) R4) Correctness
- **R5) Offline support**
- **R6) Scalability & efficiency**

### Elected workers manage job





- **R1) Cycle-sharing**
- R2) Ad-hoc setup
- R3) Open & decentralized (participant-driven)
- **R4) Correctness**
- **R5) Offline support**
- **R6) Scalability & efficiency**

### All workers manage job





#### Idea

- Share management burden among peers
- Do not use designated manager role
- Peers write job status into a distributed storage
- Resulting challenges
  - Organize data structure for efficient access
  - Ensure correctness of computation
  - Ensure correctness of stored data





# **System Model and Assumptions**

- Scalable peer-to-peer overlay
- Unique participant ID
- Secure message transport
- NAT traversal
- Accounting of work performed [Garcia2005] [Turner2004]
- Distributed storage

(Chord, Pastry)

[Wacker2008b]

[Wacker2008b]

[Wacker2008a]

(Distributed Hashtable)



### **Example Application**

- Brute-force attack on symmetric-key cipher
- Represents class of search problems
- Input: ciphertext, cipher being used
- Solution space: all possible keys
  - Decrypt ciphertext
  - Rate result with score function
- Divide solution space into task blocks



- Structure task list as tree
- Each object stored on different peer



- Participating peers traverse tree to get task
- Construct tree on demand
  - Divide
  - Compute
  - Merge







- Participating peers traverse tree to get task
- Construct tree on demand
  - Divide
    Compute
    Merge



n

- Participating peers traverse tree to get task
- Construct tree on demand
  - Divide
    Compute
    Merge

Participating peers traverse tree to get task

0-7

- Construct tree on demand
  - Divide
    Compute
    Merge
    0-3
    0-1

- Participating peers traverse tree to get task
- Construct tree on demand
  - Divide
  - Compute
  - Merge





- Participating peers traverse tree to get task
- Construct tree on demand
  - Divide
  - Compute
  - Merge



- Participating peers traverse tree to get task
- Construct tree on demand
  - Divide
  - Compute
  - Merge



#### Task allocation

- Peers mark tasks as allocated
- But allocations are not exclusive locks
- Other peers may ignore allocations

#### Tree traversal

- Avoid querying occupied objects
- Avoid unnecessary large trees
- Depth-first traversal with frequent left turns





- Cheat attempts for search problems
  - Peer claims to have found solution (false positive)
  - Peer claims subspace does not contain solution (false negative)
- Find opportunistic cheaters efficiently





## **Result Verification (2)**



## **Removal of Partial Results**

- Large tree requires bandwidth for maintenance
- Tree size would scale with number of peers

— ... if unneeded subtrees were removed

- Peers not allowed to remove unneeded subtrees
  - Too risky to lose progress
  - Even with verification
- Job submitter removes junk from time to time
  - If she doesn't: some maintenance overhead
  - Still allowed to go offline

## **Distributed Storage**

- Special requirements not provided by plain DHT
- Adaptive replication
  - Ensure consistency
  - Scale with number of read operations [Knoll2008]
- Access model: read all, append all, modify own
- Prevent unauthorized modifications
- Soft state: remove data if not refreshed





## **Summary and Outlook**

- Peer-to-peer computing for CPU sharing (R1)
- Self-organizing without infrastructure setup (R2)
- Without provider or administration (R3)
- Deals with opportunistic peers (R4 partly)
- Allows job submitter to go offline (R5)
- Considers scalability & efficiency so far (R6)
- Future work
  - Large-scale evaluation
  - More complex applications

- [Chakravarti2006]: Organic Grid
  - Arjav J. Chakravarti, Gerald Baumgartner, Mario
    Lauria: Self-Organizing Scheduling on the Organic
    Grid. Int. Journal of High Performance Computing
    Applications, 2006, vol. 20, no. 1
- [Castella2008]: CoDiP2P
  - D. Castellà, I. Barri, J. Rius, F. Giné, F. Solsona, F.
    Guirado: CoDiP2P: A Peer-to-Peer Architecture for
    Sharing Computing Resources. Int. Symposium on
    Distributed Computing and Artificial Intelligence,
    2008



#### • [Therning2005]: Jalapeno

 Niklas Therning, Lars Bengtsson: Jalapeno: secentralized grid computing using peer-to-peer technology. 2nd conference on Computing frontiers, 2005

### • [Verbeke2002]: JNGI

 Jerome Verbeke, Neelakanth Nadgir, Greg Ruetsch, Ilya Sharapov: Framework for Peer-to-Peer
 Distributed Computing in a Heterogeneous,
 Decentralized Environment. 3rd International
 Workshop on Grid Computing, 2002

- [Knoll2008]: Replication (Repl1, Repl2)
  - Mirko Knoll, Haitham Abbadi, Torben Weis:
    Replication in Peer-to-Peer Systems. 3<sup>rd</sup> Int.
    Workshop on Self-Organizing Systems (IWSOS), 2008
- [Wacker2008b]: Authentication (Auth)
  - Arno Wacker, Gregor Schiele, Sebastian Schuster, Torben Weis: Towards an Authentication Service for Peer-to-Peer based Massively Multiuser Virtual Environments. Int. J. Advanced Media and Communications, Inderscience Enterprises Ltd., 2008





#### • [Garcia2005]: Currency

Flavio D. Garcia and Jaap-Henk Hoepman: Off-line
 Karma: A Decentralized Currency for Peer-to-peer
 and Grid Applications. 3<sup>rd</sup> Applied Cryptography and
 Network Security (ACNS), 2005

#### • [Turner2004]: Currency

David A. Turner and Keith W. Ross: A Lightweight
 Currency Paradigm for the P2P Resource Market. 7<sup>th</sup>
 Int. Conference on Electronic Commerce Research,
 2004



#### • [Wacker2008a]: NAT traversal (<u>NAT</u>)

- Arno Wacker, Gregor Schiele, Sebastian Holzapfel, and Torben Weis: (Demo) A NAT Traversal Mechanism for Peer-To-Peer Networks. 8<sup>th</sup> Int. Conference on Peerto-Peer Computing (P2P), 2008
- [Knoll2009]: Bootstrapping (IRC)
  - Mirko Knoll, Matthias Helling, Arno Wacker, Sebastian Holzapfel and Torben Weis: Bootstrapping Peer-to-Peer Systems Using IRC. 5<sup>th</sup> Int. Workshop on Collaborative Peer-to-Peer Systems (COPS), 2009

